# DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Environmental Assessment

#### (Water Protection Bureau)

Name of Project: Cove Creek Ridge Subdivision

**Type of Project:** Discharge residential strength wastewater to a subsurface drainfield under the

Montana Ground Water Pollution Control System permit program

Location of Project: The site is situated in T29N, R20W, in the northeast <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> and northwest <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of

Section 27, or N 48°15' 5.11" latitude and W 114° 8' 31.92" longitude.

City/Town: Kalispell County: Flathead

**Description of Project**: Permit application materials submitted by Gateway Engineering & Surveying (GES), Inc on behalf of the Cove Creek Ridge Subdivision (CCRS) reported a maximum daily design flow of 11,200 gallons per day from a 32 dwelling unit subdivision. The wastewater treatment system includes a sequencing batch reactor (SBR), a coagulation injection system a gravity sand filtration system, and an ultraviolet disinfection system. Residential wastewater is discharged to a 6,000 gallon distribution tank, and is then pumped to one of two SBR tanks for anaerobic/ anoxic biological treatment. In the treatment process the wastewater and biological solids mixture (mixed liquor) is alternately mixed with the deprived of oxygen and is then periodically pumped to the clarification chamber where quiescent conditions allow the solids settle. A pump transfers the settled solids back the aeration chamber and clarified effluent is pumped to the 3000 gallon feed tank. A portion of the mixed liquor is periodically wasted to the 8,000 gallon sludge holding tank to maintain optimal operating conditions in the treatment process. Poly aluminum chloride and aluminum sulfate are injected into the holding tank to initiate coagulation filtration. Wastewater is then treated in a sand filter. Final treatment occurs in two parallel Ultraviolet disinfection units. Wastewater will be then be sent to a 4,000 gallon dose tank.

The proposed wastewater treatment facility will discharge via a 2 zoned drainfield, outfalls 001a and 001b. The drainfields are located on the hydraulically down gradient side of the CCRS. Outfalls 001a and 001b are situated in T29N, R20W, in the northeast ¼ and northwest ¼ of Section 27, or N 48°15' 5.11" latitude and W 114° 8' 31.92" longitude.

**Agency Action and Applicable Regulations**: The proposed action is to issue an individual MGWPCS discharge permit to a residential strength wastewater treatment operation and specify effluent limitations, monitoring and discharge reporting requirements. The Montana Water Quality Act 75-5-101 *et seq.* Montana Ground Water Pollution Control System Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.30.10 *et seq.* and Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ARM 17.30.12 *et* 

**Summary of Issues**: The purpose of this action is to regulate the discharges of pollutants to state waters from the regulated facility. Issuance of an individual permit will require the facility to implement design and management practices to prevent pollution and degradation of groundwater. The action will have benefits to water quality.

## **Affected Environment & Impacts of the Proposed Project**:

Y = Impacts may occur (explain under Potential Impacts). Include frequency, duration (long or short term), magnitude, and context for any significant impacts identified. Reference other permit analyses when appropriate (ex: statement of basis). Address significant impacts related to substantive issues and concerns. Identify reasonable feasible mitigation measures (before and after) where significant impacts cannot be avoided and note any irreversible or irretrievable impacts. Include background information on affected environment if necessary to discussion.

N = Not present or No Impact will likely occur. *Use negative declarations where appropriate (wetlands, T&E, Cultural Resources).* 

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT		
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	
1. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE: Are soils present which are fragile, erosive, susceptible to compaction, or unstable? Are there unusual or unstable geologic features? Are there special reclamation considerations?	[N] Discharge will increase moisture in the vadose zone. There are no limiting layers present in the soil profile that would impede continued treatment of effluent discharged from the drainfield. Onsite soils consist of Blanchhard fine sand, Half Moon silt loam, Haskill fine sand and Stryker silt loam.	
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or groundwater resources present? Is there potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality?	[N] Department developed numeric effluent quality limits to ensure that the water quality standards will not be exceeded prior to discharge to ground water. Water levels in the immediate area range from approximately 12 feet below the surface.	
3. AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or particulate be produced? Is the project influenced by air quality regulations or zones (Class I airshed)?	[N] No significant impacts have been determined. Some dust may result during construction.	
4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY: Will vegetative communities be significantly impacted? Are any rare plants or cover types present?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified. Drainfield is to be covered with native soils and reseeded, without reseeding the native grasses may have a difficult time re-establishing themselves.	
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS: Is there substantial use of the area by important wildlife, birds or	[N] No significant impacts have been identified. The closest surface water is Blaine Creek 4,000 ft down gradient of the discharge location. Blaine Lake is	

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT		
fish?	approximately 2,500 east and cross gradient of the proposed drainfield.	
6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are any federally listed threatened or endangered species or identified habitat present? Any wetlands? Species of special concern?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the EA, however the Montana National Heritage Program stated that Canis lupus, Haliaeetus leucocephalus, lynx Canadensis, Gulo guloMartes pennanti do exist within the designated search local.	
7. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES: Are any historical, archaeological or paleontological resources present?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the EA. The Montana State Historic Preservation Office reported that no previously recorded sites within the designated search locales. They recommend that a cultural resource inventory be conducted.	
8. AESTHETICS: Is the project on a prominent topographic feature? Will it be visible from populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive noise or light?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified. The wastewater discharge system will be below grade and not visible to the public.	
9. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY: Will the project use resources that are limited in the area? Are there other activities nearby that will affect the project? Will new or upgraded powerline or other energy source be needed)	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the EA. Hydraulic conductivity values indicate a rapid rate of groundwater movement. Ground water levels range from approximately 10-15 feet below the surface Potential for ground water depletion is minimal.	
10. IMPACTS ON OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are there other activities nearby that will affect the project?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the EA.	

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT		
11. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY: Will this project add to health and safety risks in the area?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified. There is potential for health and safety risks to arise during construction. With added vehicle traffic, there is potential for increased motor vehicle accidents.	
12. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or alter these activities?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified. As this is a new facility there will be a 100 % increase in commercial activity at this facility.	
13. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT: Will the project create, move or eliminate jobs? If so, estimated number.	[N] No significant impacts have been identified.	

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT		
14. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES: Will the project create or eliminate tax revenue?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the EA.	
15. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES: Will substantial traffic be added to existing roads? Will other services (fire protection, police, schools, etc.) be needed?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the EA. The facility is located off of rural roads and the increased number of residences is likely to increase traffic on these roads.	
16. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS: Are there State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, etc. zoning or management plans in effect?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the EA.	
17. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational areas nearby or accessed through this tract? Is there recreational potential within the tract?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the EA. Accesses remains unaltered.	
18. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING: Will the project add to the population and require additional housing?	[Y] The subsurface wastewater treatment system is for a subdivision for 32 new homes. As a result of this project the population is going to increase.	
19. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES: Is some disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities possible?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the EA.	
20. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY: Will the action cause a shift in some unique quality of the area?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the EA.	
21. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the EA	
22(a). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Are we regulating the use of private property under a regulatory statute adopted pursuant to the police power of the state? (Property management, grants of financial assistance, and the exercise of the power of eminent domain are not within this category.) If not, no further analysis is required.	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the EA	
22(b). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Is the agency proposing to deny the application or condition the approval in a way that restricts the use of the regulated person's private property? If not, no further analysis is required.	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the EA	
22(c). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: If the answer to 21(b) is affirmative, does the	[N] No significant impacts have been identified from the	

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT	
agency have legal discretion to impose or not impose the proposed restriction or discretion as to how the restriction will be imposed? If not, no further analysis is required. If so, the agency must determine if there are alternatives that would reduce, minimize or eliminate the restriction on the use of private property, and analyze such alternatives. The agency must disclose the potential costs of identified restrictions.	EA

## 23. **Description of and Impacts of other Alternatives Considered:**

- A. <u>No Action</u>: Under the 'No Action' alternative the Department would not issue an individual ground water discharge permit under the Montana Ground Water Pollution Control System administrative rules. The proposed action will have environmental benefits compared to leaving the facility unpermitted.
- B. <u>Approval with modification</u>: The Department has not identified any necessary modifications to grant approval.

## 24. Summary of Magnitude and Significance of Potential Impacts:

Impacts were assessed with the assumption that the facility will comply with the terms and conditions of the permit. Violations of the permit could lead to significant adverse impacts to state waters. Violations of the permit are not an effect of the agency action, because the permit itself forbids such activities. However, the Department has taken steps to ensure that violations do not occur. The terms of the permit have been clarified and modified in response to comments from regulated parties, the public and other agencies. The Department provides assistance to applicants in understanding and implementing the requirements of the permit. The Department also conducts periodic inspections of permitted facilities, and identifies potential problems with design or management practices. If violations of the permit do occur, the Department will take appropriate action under the water quality act. Section 75-5-617, MCA. Enforcement sanctions for violations of the permit include injunctions, civil and administrative penalties, and cleanup orders.

- 25. **Cumulative Effects:** The issuance of this individual MGWPCS discharge permit would not have cumulative effects because the permit prohibits pollution and degradation of state waters.
- 26. **Preferred Action Alternative and Rationale**: The preferred action is to authorize TLW Properties, LLC under an individual MGWPCS Discharge Permit. This action is preferred because the permit program provides a regulatory mechanism for protecting and improving water quality by applying control technology to the source discharge of domestic wastes generated at the proposed subdivision.

Recommendation for Further Environment	al Analysis:
[ ] EIS [ ] More Detailed EA [ X ] N	No Further Analysis
Rationale for Recommendation:	
http://www.deq.state.mt.us/ea.asp. For copies call the Montana Department of Environment	will be posted on the Department web page: s of the draft EA or to submit comments, write or tal Quality c/o Dianne Beaman, P.O. Box 200901, 4-3080. Comments will be received for 30-days
water quality related issues. The Department	tho have expressed an interest in all environmental t will send a copy of this document to all persons and telephone number to the Department for the interested parties' mailing list.
28. Persons and agencies consulted in the property Damon Murdo, Cultural Records Mana Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Montana Fish and Wildlife Web page, Natural Resource Information System,  EA Checklist Prepared By: Louis Volpe	ager, Historical Preservation Society y Web site animal species information
Louis Volpe	August 22, 2008
(Name)	Date
<b>EA Revisions and Corrections</b> : As a result comment period	t of comments received during the 30-day public
Louis Volpe	
Approved By:	
Jenny Chambers, Chief, Water Protection Bureau	
Signature	Date